

~~S-E-C-R-E-T
Security Information~~

Young

25X1A9a 25X1A

Progress Report from [REDACTED]
12 December 1952

25X1A

1. Arrived [REDACTED] on the night of 3 December 1952. Stayed overnight and went to the [REDACTED] on the 4th. Saw [REDACTED]

25X1A9a

and [REDACTED] and inquired about the status of the items that they had thought, when I left Washington, would come up for discussion about the 13th of December. These items are now tentatively scheduled for the 1st of January, accordingly, I arranged to call [REDACTED] and give him a number at which he could reach me in [REDACTED]. He, then, will call me when the dates for discussion are firmed up. He indicated that I would be needed [REDACTED] for no more than a week, but it appears to me that the actual time will be more like two days.

25X1A9a

25X1A6a

25X1A

2. Arrived [REDACTED] late in the afternoon of the 5th. Got in touch with Mike at once, and with [REDACTED] decided to see me first on Monday morning, so Mike and I talked over the job for the rest of the day.

25X1A9a

25X1A6a

3. Saw [REDACTED] first thing on Monday, December 8. Trouble started right then and there. They were completely embittered to find that they couldn't actually put me on a train at the end of the week with an open mandate to go to all of the previously exploited [REDACTED] sources and, on my own, collect all of the economic intelligence that I could.

It turned out that there is at present (and likely to be for some time) only one man at this facility who can run interrogations. He, of course, has his hands full keeping up with the current sources.

25X1A6a

4. I moved out to [REDACTED] headquarters on Monday and had further talks with [REDACTED]. He indicated the same sense of disappointment as had [REDACTED]. At any rate, I got started that day on a review of the sources which have been exploited, and I expect to be engaged in that process for a while, it being one of the good starting points.

25X1A

In setting up a work program, I think that the following three lines of endeavor will be the ones that will occupy me for awhile:

25X1Aa. Look over the dossiers and reports already prepared by [REDACTED]. This will result in:

25X1A6a (1) A delineation of the areas within ORR's interest that the ordinary [REDACTED] interrogations do not cover.

(2) A list of questions on selected facilities in the USSR which have been put to sources in the past, but which have not been satisfactorily answered.

As a result of these, it will be possible for me to give guidance in future interrogations.

SECRET

- 2 -

b. Search the list of prospects for the names of those people who would be most productive from ORR's point of view. As a result of this, give guidance to the operations people.

c. Prepare briefs, as necessary, and as it becomes possible to do so, which furnish the general background necessary for the interrogators. It will also be a part of this process to give guidance to ORR on the preparation of more satisfactory and workable requirements.

25X1A

5. I am amazed, in reading the case books, at the apparently minimal routing of reports prepared by this group to ORR for evaluations. I rather suspect that there is some apathy in ORR toward the [redacted] because (1)

25X1A
25X1A6a
25X1X6

many of the analysts do not realize that there even exists such a group [redacted] and (2) the [redacted] reports have not been routed about in ORR. For example, in the ten reports based on the observations of [redacted] (aircraft specialist from Podbareshe) there was not a single evaluation from I/AR, in spite of the fact that economic intelligence was contained in almost every one of them. In addition, there was much room for the submission of requirements on that source — his possibilities had been explored along only the most obvious lines.

25X1A6a 6. On some of the [redacted] reports which have been evaluated by ORR, comment has been rather critical. In the worst case I noted, a proper job of interrogation had been done, and the information was worthwhile, yet the report got an E-4 rating, plus a lengthy screed on what a bum job had been done. I was really sickened. Far more common, however, is a situation wherein a branch indicates that the material is already sufficiently known. I have two cases where such a comment is made, yet the briefing given me by those branches indicates that they are desperate for information on the given subject.

25X1A8a

I hope that evaluations of [redacted] will be carefully prepared — it will make the job a lot easier.

25X1A6a

In preparing evaluations on [redacted] material, three things should be kept in mind:

25X1A6a

25X1A6a

a. Specific Requests for Information which were submitted by one branch may not have been received in time for inclusion in a given report. Therefore, don't give a poor evaluation to a report that may serve someone else's needs perfectly. It appears, from here, that ORR is sometimes asked to make evaluations on material that is of primary interest to OSI. The home OSO office knows that, but [redacted] doesn't. Therefore, the home office, seeing an unfavorable report from ORR could discount it — [redacted] would feel, in such a 25X1A6a situation, that the report was sent to ORR because of some fundamental interest, and that they [redacted] had completely fallen down in not seeing the interest beforehand.

b. If any branch does not feel competent to handle an evaluation, it should so indicate and, if possible, pass it to the branch that it thinks is best suited for this.

~~S-E-C-R-E-T~~

- 3 -

c. A branch cannot, at the present time, submit a requirement of the nature "get all you can about the tie-in between [] plant and the [] plant" and expect to get any economic intelligence from such a request. I will try to give enough guidance to the interrogators to indicate what such requirements mean, but it will take much missionary work; they simply don't understand why such information is considered valuable, nor do they understand exactly what it is that is wanted in the first place. Requirements must be explicit -- the interrogator can ask the question, but he can't think it up. I will try to deal with the problem of one question being based on another in the individual cases, as they come up.

7. On Wednesday, 10 December, I started on the process of explaining the objectives and set-up of ORR with [] I was able to point out to them the type of information which we would (or thought we would) be able to get from these sources. These men had two main points to make in describing the difficulties that were to be faced:

25X1A9a

- a. Their sources were development engineers, not production people.
- b. They were kept entirely ignorant of the production process.

25X1X6

Fortunately, I had just finished looking over the reports on [] He, or someone just like him, could have for instance given a complete bill of materials for the latest type of Soviet jet bomber, told where it was going to be put into production (rumor had it that the former director at Podbereshe was detached to set up such production facilities --- [] unfortunately, didn't know where, but might have) and he could have contributed a great deal of other such information. (Incidentally, if I/AR wants me to approach [] on such a bill-of-materials, I will do so.)

25X1A

8. Had a discussion on the same day with [] who heads up the operations group here. I found this to be a very well-controlled set-up. Their files on possible sources is in extremely good shape and under close and strict surveillance. If ORR believes that one source or another would be worthwhile, the status of that person, who may or may not be under consideration as a possibility for exploitation, can be determined in a matter of minutes. I suggest two things in this connection, therefore:

25X1A8a

a. If someone in ORR wants to know whether it's worthwhile to submit requirements on a person named in an [] or [] PLEASE go through the following procedure and then submit his name over the Telecon:

25X1A

- (1) Take the complete name from the report;

25X1A9a

(2) Call [] office, and determine what "EG" number 25X1A8a is equivalent to that [] or [] number (the EG number is assigned here, and are not completely cross-referenced against 25X1A25X1A8a the [] and [] numbers).

25X1A

- (3) Refer to the report, by EG number, in requesting information.

~~S-E-C-R-E-T~~

S-E-C-R-E-T
- - - 4 -

b. If someone in ORR wants a particular source then interrogated (as opposed to simply wanting to know what his status is) the procedure to follow is that outlined in [REDACTED] dated 20 August 1952 (from [REDACTED] and titled "Potential Sources for Information on Heavy Manufacturing". Don't fail to give the support information necessary, as outlined in Bill's memo.

25X1A9a

25X1X6 brought up a couple of interesting notes: [REDACTED] is now thought to be under interrogation by the British (I am checking on this, and will submit, if necessary, additional requirements on my own). [REDACTED] buddy [REDACTED] is an [REDACTED] but, as indicated in the screed that accompanies reports from him, is strictly a one-way source. [REDACTED] had an interest in one [REDACTED]. He can't be reached either, and there is no expectation that he will be.

25X1A9a

25X1A6a 9. On Thursday, 11 December, I went in and talked to [REDACTED]. He had some interesting suggestions and remarks. First of all, he is of the opinion that other facilities would be more productive of information of a nature useful to ORR than would [REDACTED] (he was thinking of [REDACTED]). He did say that there was much to be gotten here.

25X1A9a

25X1A6a

I am continuing discussions with [REDACTED] out here as to what position I shall assume in the stream of people interrogating a source. It now appears that I will not be able to get in on the initial meetings at which is determined which agency has the primary interest in the source. This will not be too bad; if it doesn't work out that I get the briefing soon enough on what the source knows, I'll simply indicate that this is the case. At any rate, it appears that I will be able to get requirements down on every source in the initial go-around.

25X1X6 10. I expect to run my first interrogation sometime next week. This will be of a man by the name of [REDACTED]. He is knowledgeable on Zavod 2, Kuibyshev, and the IFA Blechverformung plant in Leipzig, East Germany. I intend exploiting him along the lines laid down in my briefings by I/AR, PE, CG, and to make inquiries which will be of interest to S/L and OG, possibly others. The man does not appear to be a first-rate source, and I suggest that no requirements be furnished on Zavod 2, although anything on the IFA plant that is wanted would be good for me to have. If Specific Requests for Information have already been prepared, I will have them (presumably) by the time I get to him.

The language problem came up in a major way in connection with this man. He had been held here until I got in, having been previously subjected to interrogation by the Air Force. [REDACTED] was dying to have me come in and complete my exploitation of him so that they could pass him on — now, of course, they will have to wait until the one and only interrogator can get a day's free time.

I feel that I can handle part of the exploitation process with my knowledge of German, but the entire process is beyond me.

After this sample, I shall probably be better able to say what the future probabilities and possibilities of my operation here will be.

11. My general conclusions thus far are few. I believe that there is much fertile ground to be covered in this operation, but one of the major jobs is bound to be driving a wedge into the vested interests of the Air Force and OSI. I think, at present, that this can be done; it requires careful liaison with the people here who are somewhat piqued because I don't have complete facility in the language. I am sure that this is going to pass. On the other hand, I don't know what to say about the language problem as a whole. I am inclined to the belief that this is their problem, in view of the fact that all they need here (and they readily admit it) are interpreters — not too many of them, either.

Much guidance can be accomplished, and I am sure that constructive results will come of that. I think that the start, all in all, has been fair.

Handwritten notes:
the
other
problem
well

last time
etc.